

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/909,101	LANGBERG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Urmi Chattopadhyay	3738	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Non-Final before interview

(1) Urmi Chattopadhyay.

(3) _____.

(2) Gerard von Hoffmann.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 June 2004

Time: 1:20pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *None.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

46 and 70

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed deleting "thereon" from the amended claim 46 because it is not necessary and makes the clause confusing. Also discussed including in the Examiner's Amendment an amendment of claim 70 to ensure that applicant's intended amendment is clear. All the claims dependent on generic and allowable claims 1 and 46 are no longer withdrawn from consideration and are allowable.